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Introduction
(2) The essential aim of any rules governing the production, distribution and use of medicinal products must be to safeguard public health.

Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended
(3) However, this objective must be attained by means which will not hinder the development of the pharmaceutical industry or trade in medicinal products within the Community.
No medicinal product may be placed on the market of a Member State unless a marketing authorization has been issued by the competent authorities of that Member State in accordance with this Directive or an authorization has been granted in accordance with Regulation (EEC) No 2309/93.

Article 6 (1), Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended
In order to obtain an authorization to place a medicinal product on the market regardless of the procedure established by Regulation (EC) No 2309, an application shall be made to the competent authority of the Member State concerned.

Article 8 (1), Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended
Presentation of the application dossier
Dossier for the Marketing Authorisation Application
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Introduction and general principles, (2), Directive 2003/63/EC
Module 1: **Specific to each region (= Country)**
1B1: Application form
1B2: SPC labelling leaflet
1B3: Experts form
1B4: Additional requirements

Module 2: **Summaries**
A: Table of contents
B: Introduction (1 pp)
C: Quality overall summary (30 pp)
D: Non clinical overview (30 pp)
E: Clinical overview (30 pp)
F: Non clinical summary (written summary & tabulated summary) (100 – 150 pp)
G: Non clinical summary (written summary & tabulated summary) (100 – 150 pp)
Module 3: **Quality**
A: Table of contents
B: Body of data
C: References

Module 4: **Non clinical study reports**
A: Table of contents
B: Study reports
C: References

Module 5: **Clinical study reports**
A: Table of contents
B: Tabular listing studies
C: Study reports
D: References
In assembling the dossier for application for marketing authorisation, applicant shall also take into account the scientific guidelines relating to the quality, safety and efficacy of medicinal products for human use as adopted by CPMP ...

Introduction and general principles, (4), Directive 2003/63/EC
All information, which is relevant to the evaluation of the medicinal product concerned, should be included in the application, whether favourable or unfavourable to the product. In particular, all relevant details should be given of any incomplete or abandoned pharmaco-toxicological or clinical test or trial relating to the medicinal product and/or completed trials concerning therapeutic indications not covered by the application.

Introduction and general principles, (7), Directive 2003/63/EC
The adoption of the same standards and protocols by all the Member States will enable the competent authorities to arrive all their decisions on the basis of uniform tests and by reference to uniform criteria and will therefore help avoid differences in evaluation.

Preamble, (11), Directive 2001/83/EC
Good Regulatory Practice (GRP)
Drug Act:
National Regulations

EU - Regulations

EU – Directives:
Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended
GMP Directive 2003/94/EC

Current Scientific Knowledge:
Publications / Lectures / Symposiaums
GLP: GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE
GCP: GOOD CLINICAL PRACTICE
GMP: GOOD MANUFACTURING PRACTICE
GSP: GOOD STORAGE PRACTICE
G "X" P: GOOD “X” PRACTICE
GRP: GOOD REGULATORY PRACTICE
Principles of GRP
1. Independence
2. Accountability
3. Transparency
4. Confidentiality
Good Common Sense

Principles of GRP
1. Independence
2. Accountability
3. Transparency
4. Confidentiality

Good Regulatory Practice (GRP)

Good Documentation Practice
Good Guidance Practice
Good Review Practice
Key Requirements for the Evaluation of the Quality Dossier from the Assessor's Perspective
Assessor's Perspective

I. Active Substance
II. Pharmaceutical Development
III. Stability Testing

Key Requirements
I. Active substance
**Definition of a new substance / a known active substance / a stable active substance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Substance (NCE)</td>
<td>According to Part B of the Annex to Council Regulation 2309/93, a new active substance is defined as a substance not authorised by any Member State on <strong>1.1.95</strong> for use in a finished product intended for human use. Ref.: [CPMP/ICH/2736/99 (Revision 2) or ICH Q1A (R2)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known Active Substance (Generic)</td>
<td>An existing active substance is one that has been authorised previously through a finished product within the European Community. Ref.: (CPMP/QWP/122/02, Rev. 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable Active Substance (“Old” generic)</td>
<td>An active substance is considered as stable if it is within the defined/regulatory specifications when stored at 25°C / 60 % RH (2 years) and 40°C / 75 % RH (6 months). Ref.: (Annex 1 to CPMP/QWP/122/02, Rev. 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- NfG: Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products (Revision 2) - Revision of CPMP/ICH/380/95 – (CPMP/ICH/2736/99) or [ICH Q 1 A (R2)]
- NfG on Stability Testing of Existing Active Substances and Related Finished Products (CPMP/QWP/Q22/02 Rev. 1)
Dossier for the drug substance

- Complete part 3.2.S for a new drug substance (NCE)
- EDMF for NCE or existing active substance
- Certificate of Suitability of the European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines (EDQM)
Definition:
Impurities in the drug substance / product

a) Any component of the new drug substance which is not the chemical entity defined as the new drug substance.

b) Any component of the drug product which is not the chemical entity defined as the drug substance or an excipient in the drug product.

- NfG: Specifications: Test procedure and acceptance criteria for new drug substance and new products: Chemical substances - (CPMP/ICH/367/96 corr or ICH Q 6)
3.2. S 3.2 Impurities

• Discussion of *potential impurities* most likely to arise during synthesis, statement on their formation

• Statement whether potential impurities have been synthesised, information on structural analysis and methods of analysis to determine these impurities

• Discussion of *potential degradation products*

• Information on methods of analysis (*Limit Of Detection* (LOD) and *Limit Of Quantitation* (LOQ)) for the determination of likely impurities and related substances
Dossier for the active ingredients (e.g. NCE)

- Copies of chromatograms
- Summary of nature and amount of actual impurities found in the batches tested
- Justification of specification based on safety and toxicology aspects, test method and actually found values
3.2. S 7.3 Stability Data

• Results of stress testing as an integral part of the dossier, to generate degradation products and elucidate the mechanism of degradation, e.g., hydrolysis, photolysis, sensitivity to higher temperatures, oxidation....

• Information on analytical procedures and their validation
Specifications for Impurities in the Active Ingredients

- Impurities Testing Guideline: Impurities in New Drug Substances (ICH Q3A*)
- Pharmacopoeial monograph and general monograph “Substances for pharmaceutical use“

*No. of the Guideline of the International Conference of Harmonisation
II. Pharmaceutical Development
Optimum Drug Effectiveness

Drug Delivery System

Maximum Drug Safety  Maximum Drug Reliability
Factors Affecting Drug Product **Reliability**

- Chemical stability
- Physical stability
- Microbiological stability
- Unit-dose precision
- Bioavailability
  - High percentage
  - Uniformity
  - Stability
- Patient acceptance
  - Convenience
  - Pharmaceutical elegance
II. Pharmaceutical Development

P 2.1 Components of the finished drug
   P 2.1.2 Excipients

P 2.2 Finished drug
   P 2.2.1 Formulation development
   P 2.2.2 Overages
   P 2.2.3 Physical and biological properties

P 2.3 Manufacturing process development

P 2.4 Container/Closure system

P 2.5 Microbiological attributes

P 2.6 Compatibility
Note for Guidance on Development Pharmaceutics

1. Introduction
2. Components of the product
3. Formulated products
4. Packaging materials
5. Manufacturing process
6. Conclusion
II. Development of pharmaceuticals

Conclusion:

Properly conducted development studies should ensure that relevant release and shelf-life specifications are applied in order that the desired product characteristics can consistently be met at release and throughout shelf-life.
III. Stability Testing
The purpose of stability testing is to provide evidence on how the quality of a drug/an active substance or drug/finished product varies with time under the influence of a variety of environmental factors such as temperature, humidity and light, and to establish a re-test period for the drug/active substance or a shelf life for the finished product and recommended storage conditions.

- NfG: Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products (Revision 2) - Revision of CPMP/ICH/380/95 – (CPMP/ICH/2736/99) [ICH Q 1 A (R2)]
- NfG on Stability Testing of Existing Active Substances and Related Finished Products (CPMP/QWQP/122/02 Rev.1.)
Information on the stability of the active substance is an integral part of the systematic approach to stability evaluation.

- NfG: Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products (Revision 2) - Revision of CPMP/ICH/380/95 – (CPMP/ICH/2736/99) [ICH Q 1 A (R2)]
- NfG on Stability Testing of Existing Active Substances and Related Finished Products (CPMP/QWQP/122/02 Rev1.)
## Stability studies

| Pharmaceutical Development | • Stress testing  
|                          | • Photo-Stability  
|                          | • Studies to support process and product development  
|                          | • Clinical trial stability  
|                          | • Shipping and In-use stability  
|                          | • Intermediates  
| Submission and Approval   | • Formal studies for the application  
|                          | • Stability commitment  
| Post-approval             | • On-going stability testing  
|                          | • Commitment batches  
|                          | • Stability studies initiated by:  
|                          |   - Changes  
|                          |   - Deviations  |
Contents of Stability Testing Guidelines

1. General
2. Stress Testing
3. Selection of Batches
4. Container Closure System
5. Specification
6. Testing Frequency
7. Storage Conditions
8. Stability Commitment
9. Evaluation
10. Statements/Labelling
Stability Testing Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPMP/ICH/2736/99 (Revision 2)</th>
<th>CPMP/QWP/122/02, Rev. 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information to be submitted in registration application for new molecular entities</td>
<td>• Information to be submitted in registration application for existing active substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Does not cover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- abbreviated or abridged applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- variations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- clinical trial applications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Specific details on sampling and testing for particular dosage forms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Definition of Storage Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing conditions where stability has been shown</th>
<th>Required label</th>
<th>Additional label, where relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25°C/60 % r.F. (Lt) 40°C/75% r.F. (acc) or 30°C/65% r.F. (Lt) 40°C/75% r.F. (acc)</td>
<td>None ***</td>
<td>Do not refrigerate or freeze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25°C/60% r.F. (Lt) 30°C/60% r.F. (int) or 30°C/65% r.F. (LT)</td>
<td>Do not store above 30°C Or Store below 30°C</td>
<td>Do not refrigerate or freeze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25°C/60% r.F. (Lt)</td>
<td>Do not store above 25°C Or Store below 25°C</td>
<td>Do not refrigerate or freeze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5°C (Lt)</td>
<td>Store refrigerated OR Store and transport refrigerated</td>
<td>Do not freeze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below zero</td>
<td>Store in a freezer OR Store and transport in a freezer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** SPC: The product does not require any special storage conditions within Europe
Definition "significant change" for a finished Drug

- > 5% potency loss
- OOS* for degradation product(s)
- OOS for pH-value
- OOS in in vitro dissolution
  12 capsules or tablets
- OOS in appearance, physical properties

*OOS = Out Of Specification
Stability Tests – Frequent Deficiencies

**Storage conditions**
- not defined
- no stress testing or testing under acc. conditions

**Selection of batches**
- too small batches
- initial value OOS
- different synthesis/manufacturing process
- different container/closure system

**Test results**
- Test method not stability indicating
- degradation products not identified or qualified
- no mass balance
- insufficient data basis to define re-test period/shelf-life and storage conditions
Take Home Message
3.2.S.2 Control of a finished Drug

Pharmacopoeial Monograph

... 

It must be kept in mind, that, even when a monograph has been in force for many years it will not necessarily be sufficient in relation to a new route of synthesis.

... 

NfG: Summary of Requirements for Active Substances in Part II of the Dossier (CPMP/QWP/297/96)
[4. Other evidence of suitability of the Pharmacopoeial monograph]
3.2.P.2 Control of a finished Drug

3.2.P.3.3 Description of the Manufacturing Process and Process Controls

... It is in the interest of both the applicant and the regulatory authority to avoid unnecessary applications for variations.

Therefore: Very detailed description of the manufacturing process, apparatus and in-process controls should be avoided.

... 

3.2.P.3.5 Control of a finished Drug

- Release limits of $\pm 5\%$ are acceptable without further justification.
- Release limits wider than $\pm 5\%$ would need to be justified in the part “Development Pharmaceutics” with experimental results which are normally based on a confidence level of 95%. The wider limits also include both, the variation of production and of the test procedure for assay.

Specifications and Control Tests on the Finished Product
EudraLex, 3AQ11a, 1998
[1.5.2 Maximum acceptable deviation in the contents of active substance]
3.2.P.5 Control of a finished Drug

3.2.P.5.3 Validation

Remember

a) Validation is not
   - an exercise to create as much paper as possible
   - another unnecessarily burdensome regulatory requirement.

b) Validation provides
   - documented evidence of consistency from batch to batch.
3.2.P.5 Control of a finished Drug

3.2.P.5.3 Validation

The 4 R's:

- **Repeatability**
  - precision within short time-frame, same conditions
  - intra-assay

- **Reproducibility** - intermediate precision
  - precision over longer time-frame, some conditions altered: different analyst, different system
  - inter-assay

- **Ruggedness** (Reproducibility of)
  - precision with major conditions altered: different laboratory; collaborative studies

- **Robustness**
  - reliability
3.2.P.7 Container Closure System

... 
In principle, medicinal products should be packed in containers that ensure stability and protect from deterioration. A label statement can not be used to compensate for inadequate or inferior packaging. 
...

NfG : ... Declaration of Storage Conditions for Medicinal Products in the Product Particulars (CPMP/QWP/609/96 Rev.1) [5. General storage statements]
It should be emphasized that no guidance can cover all eventualities, and common sense and a clear focus on the needs of the regulatory authority assessor are the best guides to constructing a document.
Thank you for your kind attention